

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/827,208	04/05/2001	Antti Latva-Aho	324-010243-US(PAR) 5366		
2512	7590 02/08/2005		. EXAMINER		
PERMAN & GREEN			DEAN, RAYMOND S		
425 POST RO FAIRFIELD,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2684		
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/827,208	LATVA-AHO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Raymond S Dean	2684	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Raymond S Dean	2684					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) Mean the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In 							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2.	eal, but prior to the date of filing an	anneal brief. The Not	tice of Anneal				
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .		•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	et doos NOT place the application is	a condition for allows	naa haaausa:				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
//	Miklor	Gund OP	DX				
•	NICK CORSARO RICK CORSARO BRIMARY EXAMINER	Raymond S. Dean February 4, 2005					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Examiner respecfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 2 Section 2.1 of the Remarks "Mills fails to disclose" for the reasons set forth in the Office Action dated November 18, 2004. Mills reads on Claim 1 as it is currently written because of the broadness of said claim. The SIM card, which is the IC card, is coupled to the base station, which is the access point, via the mobile phone, comprsing said SIM card, through the mobile phone's connection (functional connection) with the base station.

Examiner respecfully disagrees with Applicants' assertiion on Page 3 Section 2.2 of the Remarks "Mills also fails to disclose ..." for the reasons set forth in the Office Action dated November 18, 2004. Mills reads on Claim 11 as it is currently written because of the broadness of said claim. The IMSI is the data stored on the SIM (IC card). The reading of said IMSI by the base station (access point) will cause said base station to connect with the rest of the fixed network thus the IMSI will enable a functional connection with the fixed network part.

Examiner respecfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Pages 3 & 4 Section 2.3 of the Remarks "Mills further fails to disclose ..." for the reasons set forth in the Office Action dated November 18, 2004 When the mobile initiates a call the mobile, which comprises the SIM card (IC card), will connect with the base station. The IC card is connected to the base station via the mobile phone such that the IMSI can be transmitted to the MSC/VLR (See Mills Column 6 lines 41 - 43). The base station will read said IMSI so that said IMSI can be forwarded to the MSC/VLR.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 7 2nd Paragraph of the Remarks "However, for the reasons stated herein, Mills does not..." for the reasons set forth above and for the reasons set forth in the Office Action dated November 18, 2004.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 7 3rd Paragraph of the Remarks "Mills dos not disclose the storage of any kind ..." for the reasons set forth above and for the reasons set forth in the Office Action dated November 18, 2004. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 7 4th Paragraph of the Remarks "Further, Mills does not even hint toward ...". for the reasons set forth above and for the reasons set forth in the Office Action dated November 18, 2004.

Examiner respetfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 8 1st Paragraph of the Remarks "No interface between GSM base stations (BTS) ..." for the reasons set forth above and the reasons stated in the Office Action dated November 18, 2004.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 8 2nd Paragraph of the Remarks "More specifically, a connection between a mobile station ..." for the reasons stated above and the reasons stated in the Office Action dated November 18, 2004.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 8 3rd Paragraph of the Remarks "Mills does not give any indication of" for the reasons set forth above and for the reasons set forth in the Office Action dated November 18, 2004.

Examiner respectully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 9 1st Paragraph of the Remarks "Mills does not even hint towards any ..." for the reasons set forth above, the reasons set forth in the Office Action dated November 18, 2004, and for the following reasons: the functions that are performed in response to the need to connect the base station to the fixed network are the authentication and connecting the mobile phone to fixed network resources like the PSTN.

Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants' assertion on Page 9 2nd Paragraph of the Remarks "In fact, Mills does not provide any details on how ..." for the reasons set forth above and for the reasons set forth in the Office Action dated November 18, 2004.

Examiner agrees with Applicants' assertion that Widegren does not teach the application of IC cards. Widegren, however, does teach selecting a radio network controller for the access point, and connecting the access point to a functional connection with the radio network controller and other optionally required resources (Figure 1, Column 5 lines 50 - 55). Mills and Widegren both teach GSM based wireless telecommunication systems thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the radio network controller taught in Widegren in the wireless telecommunication system of Mills for the purpose of creating a UMTS system based on an evolved GSM platform such that narrowband radio access is achieved. Widegren also teaches an access point that is a base station in a UMTS system, and the fixed network part comprises at least a UMTS system radio network controller (Figure 1). Mills and Widegren both teach GSM based wireless telecommunication systems thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the elements of a UMTS system taught in Widegren in the wireless telecommunication system of Mills for the purpose of creating a UMTS system based on an evolved GSM platform such that narrowband radio access is achieved. Widegren further teaches wherein the access point is a UMTS system radio network controller RNC and the fixed network part comprises one or more network elements of a core network of a UMTS system (Figure 1, the RNC is the access point for the access points (base stations)). Mills and Widegren both teach GSM based wireless telecommunication systems thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the elements of a UMTS system taught in Widegren in the wireless telecommunication system of Mills for the purpose of creating a UMTS system based on an evolved GSM platform such that narrowband radio access is achieved. Widegren further teaches wherein the access point is a radio network controller controlling on or more base stations in the wireless telecommunication system (Figure 1, the radio network controller is the access point for the base stations), and the fixed network part comprises one or more wireless network elements of a core network of the telecommunication system (Figure 1). Mills and Widegren both teach GSM based wireless telecommunication systems thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the radio network controller and core network taught in Widegren in the wireless telecommunication system of Mills for the purpose of creating a UMTS system based on an evolved GSM platform such

2

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/827,208

that narrowband radio access is achieved. Widegren further teaches other data that includes the data required in UMTS system USIM application (Figure 1, the fact that this is a UMTS system there will inherently be data on the on the SIM for USIM application). Mills and Widegren both teach GSM based wireless telecommunication systems thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the USIM application taught in Widegren in the wireless telecommunication system of Mills for the purpose of creating a UMTS system based on an evolved GSM platform such that narrowband radio access is achieved.